FAIRFIELD INTERMEDIATE 1647 US 321 Bypass North Winnsboro, SC 29180 4-6 Elementary School GRADES 535 Students ENROLLMENT Carl L. Johnson PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Walt Tobin, Transitional Superintendent Ms. Annie E. McDaniel BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Elementary Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 62 20 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: This school met 11 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

803-635-4810

803-635-4607

803-635-6894

NO

PERFORMANCE TRENDS	

Mathematics

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Below Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Advanced
Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient
Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic
Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

, , ,			
	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	36	148	60
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.8%	77.9%	75.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	78.8%	77.8%	71.2%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	31.3%	88.8%	64 9%

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

PACT PERFORMANCE				/ ,c.		/ ,	/ <u>x</u>	ciert and ci
		ent ostino	Tested old	Flow Basic	Basic ok	Proficient of	Advanced Profi	cient and
	nolli	in of the	Veer V	FlOW	Bas of	Skor	Adul Orof	C, VQASIL.
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	6)/ -\	0/02		/	/	00,	cientance
All students	FFF	98.0	51.9	iglish/Lai 37.2	nguage A	0.5	10.9	17.6
Gender	555	96.0	31.9	31.2	10.4	0.5	10.9	17.0
Male	287	97.2	55.9	32.8	11.3	N/A	11.3	17.6
Female	269	98.5	46.8	42.5	9.7	1.1	10.8	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	200	00.0	10.0	12.0	0.1		10.0	11.0
White	101	99.0	25.4	49.3	23.9	1.4	25.4	17.6
African-American	448	97.5	57.9	34.8	7.0	0.3	7.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	TN//-X	0.0	14//1	14//	. 1// (14// (14//	17.0
Not disabled	420	99.3	47.2	39.8	12.3	0.6	13.0	17.6
Disabled	136	93.4	73.2	25.4	1.4	N/A	1.4	17.6
Migrant Status	100	30.1		_0.1		. ,,, ,		
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	556	97.8	51.4	37.5	10.5	0.5	11.0	17.6
English Proficiency	000	01.0	01.1	01.0	10.0	0.0	11.0	17.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	556	97.8	51.2	37.7	10.6	0.5	11.1	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	000							
Subsidized meals	446	98.4	56.5	35.6	7.8	N/A	7.8	17.6
Full-pay meals	110	95.5	30.1	45.2	21.9	2.7	24.7	17.6
						'		
				Mathe	matics			
All students	555	99.3	52.5	37.2	7.8	2.5	10.3	15.5
Gender								
Male	287	99.0	52.3	36.2	8.0	3.5	11.6	15.5
Female	269	99.3	51.9	39.5	7.0	1.6	8.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	101	100.0	32.4	42.3	18.3	7.0	25.4	15.5
African-American	448	98.9	56.5	37.3	4.6	1.6	6.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status								
Not disabled	420	99.3	45.5	42.2	9.5	2.8	12.3	15.5
Disabled	136	98.5	83.6	15.1	N/A	1.4	1.4	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	556	99.1	52.1	37.8	7.6	2.6	10.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	556	99.1	51.7	38.1	7.6	2.6	10.2	15.5
Socio-Economic Status		00.0	50.0	00.0				
Subsidized meals	446	99.3	56.3	36.6	5.5	1.6	7.1	15.5
LIII nav modic	1 440	000	1 20 0	1 420	161		22.2	1 15 5

32.9

43.8

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	4 of	9/986	40°	0/9	0/0	ALL 0/0 Prov
					n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	166	N/A	30.5	57.8	11.0	0.6	11.7
8	Grade 5	176	N/A	40.7	50.9	8.4	N/A	8.4
2002	Grade 6	191	N/A	41.5	45.4	13.1	N/A	13.1
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	199	98.0	52.4	37.2	10.3	N/A	10.3
8	Grade 5	168	98.2	55.6	36.3	8.1	N/A	8.1
2003	Grade 6	189	97.4	47.6	38.1	12.7	1.6	14.3
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

				M	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	166	N/A	47.4	40.3	8.4	3.9	12.3
2002	Grade 5	176	N/A	52.4	36.7	7.2	3.6	10.8
2	Grade 6	191	N/A	57.4	35.5	6.0	1.1	7.1
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	199	100.0	56.8	35.8	6.1	1.4	7.4
2003	Grade 5	168	100.0	50.4	41.6	5.6	2.4	8.0
20	Grade 6	189	97.4	49.6	34.4	12.0	4.0	16.0
	Grade 7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE						
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Elementary Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Elementary School		
Students (n= 535)						
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Retention rate	1.6%	Down from 1.8%	3.4%	2.4%		
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards	94.7%	Down from 100.0%	95.5%	95.9%		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	12.1%	No change	6.8%	13.2%		
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
With disabilities other than speech	15.9%	Up from 13.6%	9.0%	8.0%		
Older than usual for grade	2.6%	Down from 4.6%	2.4%	1.1%		
Suspended or expelled	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%		
Teachers (n= 43)						
Teachers with advanced degrees	58.1%	Down from 60.5%	46.9%	50.0%		
Continuing contract teachers	83.7%	Down from 90.7%	83.7%	85.3%		
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous yea	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	r 84.1%	Up from 83.5%	84.1%	86.2%		
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.0%	Down from 94.8%	94.4%	95.3%		
	\$41,006	Down 1.5%	\$39,237	\$39,909		
Prof. development days/teacher	13.7 days	Up from 8.6 days	12.1 days	11.4 days		
School						
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	3.0	4.0		
Student-teacher ratio	19.1 to 1	Down from 19.6 to 1	17.3 to 1	18.9 to 1		
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	85.2%	Down from 93.6%	88.5%	89.7%		
	\$6,326	Up 4.3%	\$6,106	\$5,892		
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	72.8%	Down from 73.8%	66.3%	66.6%		
	Good	No change	Good	Good		
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.4%	Up from 82.7%	99.0%	99.0%		
	yes	N/A	yes	yes		
	•		•	•		

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations	tor	Missing	Dat	ta
---------------	-----	---------	-----	----

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of Fairfield Intermediate School is to prepare our students to be self-disciplined, creative, and critical thinkers by providing a positive learning environment.

The certified staff at Fairfield Intermediate School had training in curriculum mapping over the summer and throughout the school year. They have worked diligently throughout the year producing curriculum units that are aligned with the South Carolina Curriculum Standards. Staff members are taking courses to meet the requirements of highly qualified teachers.

SUMMIT (Students Understanding and Mastering Multitudes of Intellectual Tasks) is a pullout program at Fairfield Intermediate School. SUMMIT uses the state's multi-step procedure for selection of academically gifted and talented students by reviewing intelligence and aptitude test scores, achievement, and other pertinent data. The SUMMIT curriculum emphasizes enrichment activities.

Fairfield Intermediate School is identified as a school-wide Title One School because of its high poverty rate. The teachers assisted in the academics of all students through the Title One program. All students attended Music, Health, Art, Physical Education and Science Lab once a week.

The Library Media Center (LMC) offers a program of library services that include checking out books and opportunities for small and large group research. Educational television is utilized through a district-wide taping project. The LMC is fully automated with the Winnebago software program. It also has the Reading Counts Program with two stations in the LMC and one in each classroom. The Internet is accessible throughout the building, including all of the classrooms.

The PACT scores reflect that we have a weakness in the area of Math. A new textbook series and classroom sets of hands-on manipulatives were purchased to enhance the math curriculum. We had two after-school programs offered throughout the school year. One program gave students who scored below basic on the PACT additional class time to enhance their skills in Math and Language Arts. The second program gave students who scored basic and above the opportunity to enhance their skills in all academic areas.

Carl L. Johnson, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.